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REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council
of the City of Albany
Albany, California

We have audited the financial statements of the City of Albany (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2009. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting
In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City’s internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis.

A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity’s ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the City’s financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the City’s internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the City’s internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiency or material weaknesses, as defined above.
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Compliance and Other Matters
As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City’s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The result of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the City Council, others within the entity, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Oakland, California  
December 28, 2009
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS
APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER
COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council
of the City of Albany
Albany, California

Compliance
We have audited the compliance of the City of Albany (the City) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2009. The City’s major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor’s results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City’s management. Our responsibility is to express and opinion on the City’s compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Audit Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City’s compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Internal Control over Compliance
The management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City’s internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control over compliance.
A control deficiency in an entity’s internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis.

A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity’s ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity’s internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity’s internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiency or material weaknesses, as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
We have audited the basic financial statements of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2009. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City’s basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the City Council, others within the entity, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Oakland, California
December 28, 2009
# City of Albany
## Single Audit Reports
### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
#### For the year ended June 30, 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor</th>
<th>Program Title</th>
<th>CFDA Number</th>
<th>Pass-Through Number</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal Emergency Management Agency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Programs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistance to Firefighters Grant</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>97.044</td>
<td>EMW-2007-PO-11392</td>
<td>$ 88,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistance to Firefighters Grant</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>97.044</td>
<td>EMW-2008-FV-02089</td>
<td>517,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Direct Programs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>606,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed through Governor's Office of Emergency Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYE Pre-Disaster Mitigation Competitive Program Grant</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>97.017</td>
<td>OES #001-00674</td>
<td>1,708,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Pre-Disaster Mitigation Competitive Program Grant</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,708,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Federal Emergency Management Agency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,314,422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed through County of Alameda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development Block Grant</td>
<td>14.218</td>
<td>B-08-UC-060001</td>
<td></td>
<td>57,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total U.S. Department of Transportation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>U.S. Department of Transportation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passed through State of California Office of Traffic Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and Community Highway Safety</td>
<td>20.600</td>
<td>AL0936</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total U.S. Department of Transportation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Federal Awards Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,382,990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Denotes major program

See accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.
1. REPORTING ENTITY

The financial reporting entity consists of the primary government City of Albany, California (City), organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable, and other organizations for which the primary government is not accountable, but for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity’s financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) presents the activity of all Federal financial assistance programs of the City. Federal financial assistance received directly from Federal agencies as well as funds passed through the State of California is included in the Schedule. The Schedule was prepared only from the accounts of various grant programs and, therefore, does not present the financial position or results of operations of the City.
A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

1. The auditors' report expresses an unqualified opinion on the basic financial statements of the City of Albany (City).

2. No significant deficiencies relating to the audit of the financial statements are reported in the basic financial statements.

3. No instances of noncompliance material to the basic financial statements of the City were disclosed during the audit.

4. No significant deficiencies relating to the audit of the major federal award programs are reported in the basic financial statements.

5. The auditors' report on compliance for the major federal award programs for the City expresses an unqualified opinion.

6. No audit findings relative to the major federal award programs for the City was reported.

7. The programs tested as major programs include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFDA #</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>97.044</td>
<td>$606,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.017</td>
<td>$1,708,263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Program Expenditures $2,314,422

Percent of Total Federal Award Expenditures 97.12%

The auditee meets the criteria for a low-risk auditee. Consequently, the major programs tested were required to be in the aggregate of at least 25% of total federal awards expended.

8. The threshold for distinguishing Types A and B programs was $300,000.

9. The City was determined to be a low risk auditee.

B. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

No financial statement findings were noted.

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAM AUDIT

No findings or questioned costs were noted on the City’s major programs.

D. PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No prior year findings or questioned costs.